ASUCI Judicial Board

Tammy Dang

v.

Fund the Clubs

Hereby denoted as: *Dang v. Fund the Clubs II.*

Ruling

Dated: April 23, 2020

Abundis, C.J., delivered the opinion of the Board, in which Gandall K., Gerald Q., Naqvi K. and Bunda J. joined. Anayat T., took no part in the consideration or decision of the case.

Chief Justice Abundis delivered the unanimous opinion of the Judicial Board,

In the submitted elections complaint, the petitioner sought to challenge the slate, Fund the Clubs' campaigning for two different slates, finding that such campaigning violated the rules established in a previous version of the ASUCI Elections Code Art. XIX § D. The Judicial Board finds that no punitive measures shall be taken against the slate.

I.

This case raises the same issues as those in *Dang v. Mendez*, and the holdings are for such reason the same. Given that there was no Elections Code active that prohibit the campaigning for two slates, there is no prohibition on the behavior.

*** * ***

The Judicial Board rules as follows upon recommendation of the Elections Commissioner:
Fund the Clubs, the subject of the submitted Elections Complaint is not to be punished for his act of campaigning for two different slates.

It is so ordered.

_____
Michelle Abundis
Chief Justice

_____
Quincy Gerald
Vice Chief Justice

_____
Kulsoom Naqvi
Associate Justice

_____
Kimo Gandall
Associate Justice

_____
Jeremy Bunda
Associate Justice